

Guidance for Industry

Immunogenicity Assessment for Therapeutic Protein Products

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER) Amy Rosenberg 301-827-1790 or (CBER) Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development at 1-800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**February 2013
Clinical/Medical**

Guidance for Industry

Immunogenicity Assessment for

Therapeutic Protein Products

Additional copies are available from:
Office of Communications
Division of Drug Information, WO51, Room 2201
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Ave., Silver Spring, MD 20993
Phone: 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-847-8714
druginfo@fda.hhs.gov

<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>

and/or

Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development, HFM-40
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448
(Tel) 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800
ocod@fda.hhs.gov

<http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

February 2013
Clinical/Medical

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	2
II.	BACKGROUND	3
III.	CLINICAL CONSEQUENCES.....	3
	A. CONSEQUENCES FOR EFFICACY	4
	B. CONSEQUENCES FOR SAFETY.....	4
IV.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMMUNOGENICITY RISK MITIGATION IN THE CLINICAL PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT OF THERAPEUTIC PROTEIN PRODUCTS	6
V.	PATIENT- AND PRODUCT-SPECIFIC FACTORS THAT AFFECT IMMUNOGENICITY	8
	A. PATIENT-SPECIFIC FACTORS THAT AFFECT IMMUNOGENICITY	8
	1. <i>Immunologic Status and Competence of the Patient.....</i>	8
	2. <i>Prior Sensitization/History of Allergy</i>	9
	3. <i>Route of Administration, Dose, and Frequency of Administration</i>	9
	4. <i>Genetic Status</i>	10
	5. <i>Status of Immune Tolerance to Endogenous Protein.....</i>	10
	B. PRODUCT-SPECIFIC FACTORS THAT AFFECT IMMUNOGENICITY	11
	1. <i>Product Origin (foreign or endogenous).....</i>	11
	2. <i>Primary Molecular Structure/Post Translational Modifications.....</i>	12
	3. <i>Quaternary Structure: Product Aggregates and Measurement of Aggregates.....</i>	13
	4. <i>Glycosylation/Pegylation.....</i>	15
	5. <i>Impurities with Adjuvant Activity.....</i>	15
	6. <i>Immunomodulatory Properties of the Therapeutic Protein Product</i>	16
	7. <i>Formulation.....</i>	16
	8. <i>Container Closure Considerations</i>	17
	9. <i>Product Custody</i>	18
VI.	CONCLUSION	19
VII.	REFERENCES.....	19
VIII.	APPENDIX.....	26
	A. DIAGNOSIS OF ANAPHYLAXIS.....	26
	B. CYTOKINE RELEASE SYNDROME	28
	C. NON-ACUTE IMMUNE RESPONSES	29
	D. ANTIBODY RESPONSES TO THERAPEUTIC PROTEINS	29
	E. UTILITY OF ANIMAL STUDIES	31
	F. COMPARATIVE IMMUNOGENICITY STUDIES	31

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1 **Guidance for Industry**¹
2 **Immunogenicity Assessment for Therapeutic Protein Products**
3
4

5
6 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current
7 thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate
8 to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the
9 requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach,
10 contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate
11 FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.
12

13
14
15
16 **I. INTRODUCTION**

17
18 This draft guidance is intended to assist manufacturers and clinical investigators involved in the
19 development of therapeutic protein products for human use. In this document, FDA outlines
20 and recommends adoption of a risk-based approach to evaluating and mitigating immune
21 responses to therapeutic proteins that may adversely affect their safety and efficacy. We begin
22 with a description of major clinical consequences of immune responses to therapeutic protein
23 products and offer recommendations for risk mitigation in the clinical phase of development.
24 Then, we describe product- and patient-specific factors that can affect the immunogenicity of
25 therapeutic protein products, and for each factor, we make recommendations for sponsors and
26 investigators that may help them reduce the likelihood that these products will generate an
27 immune response. An appendix provides supplemental information on the diagnosis and
28 pathophysiology of particular adverse consequences of immune responses to therapeutic protein
29 products and brief discussions of the uses of animal studies and the conduct of comparative
30 immunogenicity studies.

31
32 Any given approach to assessing immunogenicity is determined on a case-by-case basis and
33 should take into consideration the risk assessment we describe. The development of vaccines,
34 such as cancer vaccines, is not addressed here, nor is assay development, which is covered in a
35 separate guidance.²
36

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) in coordination with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

² See draft guidance *Assay Development for Immunogenicity Testing of Therapeutic Proteins* (December 2009). When finalized, this guidance will reflect the Agency's current thinking on assay development for immunogenicity testing of therapeutic proteins.

Note: We update guidances periodically. To be sure you have the most recent version, check the CDER guidance page at <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

37 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable
38 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and
39 should be viewed as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are
40 cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or
41 recommended, but not required.

42
43

II. BACKGROUND

44
45

46 Immune responses to therapeutic protein products may pose problems for both patient safety
47 and product efficacy. Immunologically based adverse events, such as anaphylaxis, cytokine
48 release syndrome, so-called “infusion reactions,” and nonacute immune reactions such as
49 immune complex disease (see Appendix C), have caused sponsors to terminate the development
50 of therapeutic protein products or limited the use of otherwise effective therapies. Unwanted
51 immune responses to therapeutic proteins may also neutralize the biological activity of
52 therapeutic proteins and may result in adverse events not only by inhibiting the efficacy of the
53 therapeutic protein product, but by cross-reacting to an endogenous protein counterpart, if
54 present (e.g., neutralizing antibodies to therapeutic erythropoietin may cause pure red cell
55 aplasia by also neutralizing the endogenous protein) (Murphy 2011; Worobec and Rosenberg
56 2004; Rosenberg and Worobec 2004; Rosenberg and Worobec 2005; Koren, et al. 2008;
57 Hermeling, et al. 2004). Because most of the adverse effects resulting from elicitation of an
58 immune response to a therapeutic protein product appear to be mediated by humoral
59 mechanisms, circulating antibody (to the therapeutic protein product) has been the chief
60 criterion for defining an immune response to this class of products.³

61

62 Both patient-related and product-related factors may affect immunogenicity of therapeutic
63 protein products. These factors provide the starting point for an immunogenicity risk
64 assessment. Ideally, these factors should be taken into consideration in the early stages of
65 therapeutic protein product development. Below is a more detailed discussion of the nature of,
66 and risk factors for, the more common immune responses to therapeutic protein products as
67 well as possible mitigation strategies that may be employed.

68

69

III. CLINICAL CONSEQUENCES

70
71

72 Treatment of patients with therapeutic protein products frequently results in immune responses
73 of varying clinical relevance, ranging from transient antibody responses with no apparent
74 clinical manifestations to life-threatening and catastrophic reactions. During therapeutic protein
75 product development, elucidation of a specific underlying immunologic mechanism for related
76 adverse events is encouraged, because this information can facilitate the development of
77 strategies to help mitigate the risk of clinically significant immune responses. The extent of
78 information required to perform a risk-benefit assessment will vary among individual products,

³ IgG and IgE antibody responses are those most often associated with clinical adverse events and their generation generally requires collaboration between antigen-specific T helper cells and B cells (Murphy 2011).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

79 depending on product origin and features, the immune responses of concern, the target disease
80 indication, and the proposed patient population.

A. Consequences for Efficacy

84 Development of both neutralizing and non-neutralizing antibodies can limit product efficacy in
85 patients treated with therapeutic protein products. Neutralizing antibody can block the efficacy
86 of the product, which is of utmost concern if the product is a life-saving therapeutic. Even if
87 not in the context of a life-saving therapeutic, loss of efficacy can be problematic. Neutralizing
88 antibody that cross-reacts with a nonredundant endogenous counterpart can also impact safety,
89 as discussed in the next section. Non-neutralizing (binding) antibody may alter the
90 pharmacokinetics of the product, by either diminishing or enhancing product pharmacokinetic
91 parameters, and therefore may require dosing modifications (Wang, et al. 2008). However, if
92 present at high enough titer, non-neutralizing antibody may also mistarget the therapeutic
93 protein into Fc Receptor (FcR) bearing cells, thereby reducing product efficacy (Wang, et al.
94 2008). Furthermore, although some binding antibodies may have no apparent effect on clinical
95 safety or efficacy, they may promote the generation of neutralizing antibodies via the
96 mechanism of epitope spreading (Disis, et al. 2004). Correlation with clinical responses is
97 usually necessary to determine the clinical relevance of both binding and neutralizing antibody
98 responses.

B. Consequences for Safety

102 The safety consequences of immunogenicity may vary widely and are often unpredictable in
103 patients administered therapeutic protein products. Therefore, a high index of suspicion for
104 clinical events that may originate from such responses should be maintained, even if the initial
105 risk assessment suggests a lower risk of immunogenicity. The following section describes a
106 few of the major safety concerns associated with immunogenicity.

1. Anaphylaxis

110 Anaphylaxis is a serious, acute allergic reaction characterized by certain clinical
111 features. The definition currently accepted by the Agency relies on clinical diagnostic
112 criteria and does not specify a particular immunologic mechanism (Sampson, et al. 2006
113 and see Appendix). Historically, the definition of anaphylaxis has invoked the
114 involvement of specific IgE antibodies. However, such a mechanistic definition is
115 problematic in the context of therapeutic protein product development and other clinical
116 settings where it may not be possible to identify a specific immunologic mechanism as
117 the basis of an adverse event. In the interest of capturing all potential adverse events of
118 interest, the Agency recommends identifying all cases meeting the clinical diagnostic
119 criteria of anaphylaxis, regardless of the presumed pathophysiology. Additional
120 information, such as the detection of elevated serum histamine or tryptase levels
121 following a reaction or product-specific IgE antibodies may help elucidate the
122 pathophysiology of the anaphylactic response.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

124 Furthermore, the presence of anti-product antibody alone is not necessarily predictive of
125 anaphylaxis or other hypersensitivity reactions. Correlation with clinical responses is
126 typically required to determine the clinical relevance of these antibodies. Determination
127 of the underlying mechanism remains of interest, however, since anaphylaxis with
128 confirmation of IgE involvement has certain prognostic implications for repeat
129 exposure, as well as for potential therapeutic options for mitigation.

130 131 2. *Cytokine Release Syndrome*

132
133 Cytokine release syndrome is a symptom complex caused by the rapid release of
134 proinflammatory cytokines from target immune cells (Stebbing, et al. 2007). The
135 underlying mechanism is not fully understood, and multiple mechanisms, such as
136 binding of activating Fc Receptors and clustering of the antigen on target cells, may be
137 involved for different products. Pre- and post-dose cytokine levels may provide
138 evidence to support the clinical diagnosis and distinguish the symptom complex from
139 other acute drug reactions (see Appendix).

140 141 3. *“Infusion Reactions”*

142
143 Therapeutic proteins may elicit a range of acute effects, from symptomatic discomfort to
144 sudden, fatal reactions that have often been grouped as “infusion reactions” in the past
145 (see Appendices A and B). Although the term implies a certain temporal relationship,
146 infusion reactions are otherwise not well defined and may encompass a wide range of
147 clinical events, including anaphylaxis and cytokine release syndrome. In the absence of
148 an agreed-upon definition for “infusion reaction,” the categorization of certain adverse
149 events as infusion reactions without further detail is problematic and is not
150 recommended. Sponsors are encouraged to use more descriptive terminology when
151 possible, noting the timing, duration, and specific signs and symptoms observed upon
152 administration of a therapeutic protein. Data from mechanistic studies may be able to
153 discriminate specific antibody-mediated anaphylaxis from episodes pertaining to
154 cytokine release phenomena.

155 156 4. *Non-acute Reactions*

157
158 Anaphylaxis, cytokine release syndrome, and other acute reactions are temporally linked
159 to administration of a therapeutic protein product. Delayed hypersensitivity and
160 immune responses secondary to immune complex formation typically have a subacute
161 presentation. As a result, the association between a therapeutic protein product and
162 these reactions may be more difficult to establish, and confirmation of the underlying
163 mechanism may not be easily achieved. Clinical signs may include delayed onset of
164 fever, rash, arthralgia, myalgia, hematuria, proteinuria, serositis, central nervous system
165 complications, and hemolytic anemia (Hunley, et al. 2004; Goto, et al. 2009). When
166 such a reaction is suspected, laboratory assessment for circulating immune complexes
167 may help confirm the diagnosis.

168 169 5. *Cross-reactivity to Endogenous Proteins*

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215

Anti-drug antibody can have severe consequences if it cross-reacts with and inhibits a non-redundant endogenous counterpart of the therapeutic protein product or related proteins. If the endogenous protein is redundant in biological function, inhibition of the therapeutic and endogenous proteins may not produce an obvious clinical syndrome until the system is stressed, because not all biological functions of an endogenous protein may be known or fully characterized. Moreover, the long-term consequences of such antibodies may not be known.

For therapeutic protein counterparts of endogenous proteins that are critical to normal fetal or neonatal development, neutralization of such endogenous proteins, resulting from antibodies to the therapeutic protein counterpart may potentially negatively impact fetal or neonatal development when such responses are generated during pregnancy or breast feeding. Indeed, the potential transmission of antibodies to developing neonates by breast milk must be considered. Therefore, the risk of neutralizing antibody development following administration of such therapeutic proteins to women of childbearing potential should be strongly considered in light of their potential benefit.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMMUNOGENICITY RISK MITIGATION IN THE CLINICAL PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT OF THERAPEUTIC PROTEIN PRODUCTS

Given the variety of factors that can affect immunogenicity, the risk assessment and appropriate mitigation strategies will depend on the individual development program and should be considered at an early stage and at each stage of product development. The extent of immunogenicity safety information required premarketing and postmarketing will vary, depending on the potential severity of consequences of these immune responses and the likelihood of their occurrence.

In terms of evaluating the clinical relevance of immune responses, the Agency has the following recommendations:

Assay development

Assay development is covered in detail in draft guidance (see Draft Guidance for Industry entitled “*Assay Development for Immunogenicity Testing of Therapeutic Proteins*”). Sponsors should develop and implement sensitive, qualified immunoassays commensurate with the overall product development program. Concomitant sampling of therapeutic product levels is recommended to assess potential interference with the assay.

Product-specific antibody sampling considerations

- Baseline serum samples for anti-product antibody testing should be collected, and sampling frequency and duration should reflect anticipated use of the product. More

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

216 frequent sampling is appropriate during the initiation and early use of a new,
217 chronically administered product; less frequent sampling may be appropriate after
218 prolonged use. Repeat sampling should generally occur over periods of sufficient
219 duration to determine whether antibody responses are transient, whether a
220 neutralizing antibody response has developed, and whether these responses are
221 associated with long-term clinical sequelae.

222

223 • In addition to a prespecified sampling schedule, unscheduled sampling triggered by
224 suspected immune-related adverse events is useful for establishing the clinical
225 relevance of antiproduct antibodies.

226

227 • Banking of serum samples from clinical trials under appropriate storage conditions
228 for future testing is always advisable.

229

230 Dosing

231

232 • For first-in-human trials, a conservative approach in an appropriate medical setting
233 with staggered dosing among individual patients, dosing cohorts, and different
234 routes of administration is generally appropriate. The trial design should include
235 prespecified dose escalation criteria and adequate time intervals between dosage
236 cohorts and, as appropriate for the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the
237 product, between individuals within a dose cohort to assess toxicities prior to
238 administration of subsequent doses or treatment of additional individuals. The need
239 for such an approach will depend on the individual circumstances. As development
240 progresses, dosing strategies and safety parameters can be modified based on
241 clinical experience with the product and related products.

242

243 • Because predicting the effects of product-specific antibodies may be difficult during
244 therapeutic protein product development, dosing regimens in subsequent studies
245 should be risk based, taking into account the data from initial trials, the potential for
246 cross-reactivity to endogenous proteins or neutralization of the therapeutic protein
247 product, clinical parameters that impact immunogenicity in different patient
248 populations, and the adequacy of the proposed safety monitoring.

249

250 Adverse events

251

252 • The development of neutralizing antibody activity or the presence of sustained, high
253 antibody titers may lead to loss of efficacy or an increased risk of an adverse
254 reaction. In certain situations (e.g., assessment of a product with a nonredundant
255 endogenous counterpart), real-time assessments for antibodies during a clinical trial
256 may be recommended for safety reasons. The need for such intensive monitoring
257 will depend on the individual circumstances.

258

259 • If clinically relevant immune responses are observed, sponsors are encouraged to
260 study the underlying mechanism and identify any critical contributing factors.

261

These investigations can facilitate development of potential mitigation strategies,

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

262 including modification of product formulation, screening of higher-risk patients, or
263 adoption of risk mitigation strategies (see below).
264

- 265 • In some cases, sponsors may choose to explore desensitization or immune tolerance
266 induction procedures as potential mitigation strategies. Given the risks associated
267 with desensitization/immune tolerance induction procedures, the appropriateness of
268 such investigations will depend on the nature of the specific indication, the target
269 patient population, and the stage of development.
270

271 Comparative immunogenicity studies
272

- 273 • For all comparative immunogenicity studies (e.g., those comparing antibody
274 incidence, titer, or neutralizing activity to product pre- and post-manufacturing
275 changes), a strong rationale and, when possible, prespecified criteria should be
276 provided to justify what differences in incidence or severity of immune responses
277 would constitute an unacceptable difference in product safety.⁴
278

279 Postmarketing safety monitoring
280

- 281 • Robust postmarketing safety monitoring is an important component in ensuring the
282 safety and effectiveness of therapeutic protein products. Because some aspects of
283 postmarketing safety monitoring are product-specific, FDA encourages sponsors to
284 consult with appropriate FDA divisions to discuss the sponsors' proposed approach to
285 postmarketing safety monitoring. Rare, but potentially serious, safety risks (e.g.,
286 immunogenicity) may not be detected during preapproval clinical testing, because the
287 size of the population exposed may not be large enough to assess rare events. In
288 particular cases, such risks may need to be evaluated through postmarketing
289 surveillance or studies.
290

291

292 **V. PATIENT- AND PRODUCT-SPECIFIC FACTORS THAT AFFECT** 293 **IMMUNOGENICITY**

294

295 **A. Patient-Specific Factors That Affect Immunogenicity** 296

297 Factors related to the target patient population may increase or decrease the risk of an immune
298 response. Therefore, caution is recommended when moving from one patient population to
299 another.
300

301 *1. Immunologic Status and Competence of the Patient* 302

303 Patients who are immune suppressed may be at lower risk of mounting immune responses to
304 therapeutic protein products compared to healthy volunteers with intact immune responses. For
305 example, 95 percent of immune-competent cancer patients generated neutralizing antibody to a

⁴ For information on proposed biosimilar products, see draft guidance titled *Scientific Considerations in Demonstrating Biosimilarity to a Reference Product* (February 2012).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

306 Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF) product, but only 10 percent of
307 immune-compromised cancer patients did so (Ragnhammar, et al. 1994). Immune suppression
308 with agents that kill antigen-activated lymphocytes and/or elicit activity of regulatory T cells,
309 such as methotrexate, can have a substantial effect on immunogenicity of co-administered
310 therapeutic protein products (Baert, et al. 2003). In contrast to immune-deficient patients,
311 patients with an activated immune system (e.g., patients with certain infections or autoimmune
312 disease) may have augmented responses. Immune response generation may also be affected by
313 patient age, particularly at the extremes of the age range. Particular caution should be used in
314 studies evaluating novel therapeutics in healthy volunteers with regard to immunogenicity and
315 immune responses (Stebbins, et al. 2007; Li, et al. 2001).

316

317 *Recommendation*

318

319 In the development of therapeutic protein products, a rationale should be provided to support
320 the selection of an appropriate study population, especially for first-in-human studies.

321

322 *2. Prior Sensitization/History of Allergy*

323

324 Prior exposure to a therapeutic protein or to a structurally similar protein may result in a
325 sensitized patient at baseline. This is a particular concern for patients receiving factor or
326 enzyme replacement therapy, who may have antibodies to a previous replacement product that
327 could cross react on an analogous product.

328

329 Sensitization to the excipients or process/product related impurities of a therapeutic product
330 may also predispose a patient to an adverse clinical consequence. For example, products
331 produced from transgenic sources may contain allergenic foreign proteins, such as milk protein
332 or protein from chicken eggs.

333

334 *Recommendation*

335

336 Screening for a history of relevant allergies is recommended, and the appropriateness of
337 administration will depend on the individual risk-benefit assessment.

338

339 *3. Route of Administration, Dose, and Frequency of Administration*

340

341 Route of administration can affect the risk of sensitization. In general, intradermal,
342 subcutaneous, and inhalational routes of administration are associated with increased
343 immunogenicity compared to the intramuscular and the intravenous (IV) routes. The IV route is
344 generally considered to be the least likely to elicit an immune response. In conjunction with the
345 route of administration, the dose, frequency, and duration of treatment can also affect
346 immunogenicity (Rosenberg and Worobec 2004). For example, a lower dose administered
347 intermittently is typically more immunogenic than a larger dose administered without
348 interruption. It should be noted that the effects of dose and frequency on immune responses to
349 therapeutic protein products are not independent of other factors, such as route of
350 administration, product origin, and product-related factors that influence immunogenicity (see
351 below).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

352

353 *Recommendations*

354

355 Immunogenicity should be considered when selecting an appropriate route of administration,
356 especially for high-risk therapeutic protein products (e.g. therapeutic counterparts of
357 nonredundant endogenous proteins) in first-in-human dosing.

358

359 Changes in the route of administration or dosing during product development may be associated
360 with changes in the immunogenicity profile, and clinical safety data to support such changes are
361 recommended.

362

363 4. *Genetic Status*

364

365 Genetic factors may modulate the immune response to a therapeutic protein product. In
366 particular, some Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) haplotypes may predispose patients to
367 development of undesirable antibody responses to specific products (Hoffmann, et al. 2008). If
368 feasible, HLA mapping studies may help define a subset of the patient population at increased
369 risk. Moreover, genetic polymorphisms in cytokine genes may upregulate or downregulate
370 immune responses (Donnelly, Dickensheets, et al. 2011).

371

372 *Recommendation*

373

374 Evaluation of genetic factors that may modulate the immune response to a therapeutic protein
375 product is recommended. For example, the subset of patients that generate neutralizing
376 antibodies to IFN-beta products are more likely to possess distinct HLA haplotypes (Hoffmann,
377 et al. 2008). Thus, knowledge of the heightened susceptibility of patients with such HLA
378 haplotypes may allow for measures to prevent such responses or to pursue other treatment
379 options.

380

381 5. *Status of Immune Tolerance to Endogenous Protein*

382

383 Humans are not equally immunologically tolerant to all endogenous proteins. Thus, the
384 robustness of immune tolerance to an endogenous protein affects the ease with which a
385 therapeutic protein product counterpart of that endogenous protein can break such tolerance.
386 Immunological tolerance in both protein-specific T and B cells depends on many factors,
387 prominent among which is the abundance of the endogenous protein: immune tolerance is
388 weaker for low-abundance and stronger for high-abundance proteins (Weigle 1980; Goodnow
389 1992; Haribhai, et al. 2003).

390

391 The human immune system is not fully tolerant to low-abundance endogenous proteins, such as
392 cytokines and growth factors, for which serum levels may be in the nanogram (ng)/milliter
393 (mL) to picogram (pg)/mL range. This point is underscored by the presence of autoantibodies to
394 cytokines and growth factors in healthy individuals, the development of antibodies to
395 inflammatory cytokines, and the breaking of tolerance to endogenous proteins by administration
396 of exogenous recombinant therapeutic protein products (Worobec and Rosenberg 2004;
397 Rosenberg and Worobec 2004; Rosenberg and Worobec 2005; Koren, et al. 2008; Hermeling,

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

398 et al. 2004). When a therapeutic protein is intended as a replacement for an absent or deficient
399 endogenous protein, patients with genetic mutations conferring a “knock out” phenotype may
400 respond to the therapeutic product as to a foreign protein or neoantigen, or may already be
401 sensitized as a result of previous exposure to a similar therapeutic protein or related proteins
402 from other sources.

403

Recommendations

404

405
406 For a therapeutic protein product that is a counterpart of an endogenous protein, particularly if
407 for first-in-human use, and for high-risk therapeutic proteins (e.g., those with endogenous
408 protein counterparts with nonredundant functions), some understanding of the robustness of
409 immune tolerance to that endogenous protein should be gained by the following:

410

- 411 • Quantitating or gathering information on the level of the endogenous protein in
412 serum in the steady state, as well as in conditions that specifically elicit its
413 production
- 414
- 415 • Assessing for, or gathering information on, the presence of pre-existing antibodies in
416 healthy individuals and patient populations
- 417
- 418 • Incorporating evaluations of immunogenicity, immune cell activation, inflammatory
419 responses, or cytokine release into relevant animal studies to obtain insight and
420 provide guidance for clinical safety assessments (see Appendix, part E) (Koren
421 2002)

422

423 Consideration should also be given to the following:

424

- 425 • Evaluation of the genetic status (e.g., cross-reactive immunologic material or CRIM
426 status) of patients requiring factor/enzyme replacement therapies for risk evaluation
427 and mitigation.
- 428
- 429 • Evaluation of the extent of polymorphisms, including single nucleotide
430 polymorphisms, in patient populations to identify potential mismatches with the
431 therapeutic protein product.

432

433

B. Product-Specific Factors That Affect Immunogenicity

434

435

436

437

1. Product Origin (foreign or endogenous)

438

439

440

441

442

443

Immune responses to nonhuman (i.e., foreign) proteins are expected, and, as explained above,
may be anticipated for some endogenous proteins. Moreover, mismatches between the
sequence of the endogenous protein of the patient and that of the therapeutic protein product
due to naturally occurring polymorphisms are a risk factor for the development of immune
responses to the therapeutic protein product (Viel, et al. 2009). However, the rapidity of
development, the strength (titer), and the persistence of the response may depend on a number

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

444 of factors, including the following: previous and ongoing environmental exposure and the mode
445 of such exposure; the presence in the product of immunity-provoking factors, such as product
446 aggregates and materials with adjuvant activity; and the product's inherent immunomodulatory
447 activity (see section 6 below). For example, environmental exposure to bacterial proteins from
448 either commensal or pathogenic bacteria on skin or in the gut may predispose to generation of
449 immune responses when such bacterial proteins (either recombinantly or naturally derived) are
450 used as therapeutics.

451
452 For proteins derived from natural sources, antibodies can develop not only to the desired
453 therapeutic protein product, but also to other foreign protein components potentially present in
454 the product. For example, during treatment with a bovine thrombin product, immune responses
455 to bovine coagulation factor V, present in the product, led to development of antibodies that
456 cross-reacted against human-Factor V and resulted in life-threatening bleeding in some patients
457 (Kessler and Ortel 2009). Sponsors investigating such products should thus evaluate the risk
458 posed by immune responses not only to the therapeutic moiety, but also to any known protein
459 or other impurities that may be present.

460
461 *Recommendation*

462
463 Naturally sourced products should be evaluated for other components, protein and non-protein.
464 A risk-based evaluation of immunogenicity of process and product related impurities should be
465 performed and a testing program designed based on such an evaluation.

466
467 2. *Primary Molecular Structure/Post Translational Modifications*

468
469 Both the primary sequence and the higher-order structure of therapeutic protein products are
470 important factors that contribute to immunogenicity. Primary sequence analysis can reveal
471 potentially immunogenic sequence differences in proteins that are otherwise relatively
472 conserved between humans and animals. In such cases, the nonhuman epitopes may elicit T
473 cell help or facilitate epitope spreading to generate an antibody response to the conserved
474 human sequences (Dalum, et al. 1997). Primary sequence analyses may also reveal
475 polymorphisms in relatively conserved human proteins that could lead to immune responses in
476 patients whose endogenous protein amino acid sequence differs from that of the therapeutic
477 protein product.

478
479 More advanced analyses of primary sequence are also likely to detect HLA class II binding
480 epitopes in nonpolymorphic human proteins. Such epitopes may elicit and activate regulatory T
481 cells which enforce self-tolerance, or, opposingly, could activate T helper (Th) cells when
482 immune tolerance to the endogenous protein is not robust (Weber, et al. 2009; Barbosa and
483 Celis 2007; Tatarewicz, et al. 2007; De Groot, et al. 2008). However, engineering of changes to
484 the primary sequence to eliminate immunogenic Th cell epitopes or addition of toleragenic T
485 cell epitopes should be done cautiously, because these modifications may alter critical product
486 quality attributes such as propensity to aggregate, and susceptibility to deamidation and
487 oxidation, and thus alter product stability. Therefore, extensive evaluation and testing of
488 critical product attributes should be performed following such changes. Primary sequence
489 considerations are especially important in evaluation of the immunogenicity of fusion proteins,

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

490 because immune responses to neoantigens formed from the joining region may be elicited
491 (Miller, et al. 1999) and may then spread to conserved segments of the molecule. Fusion
492 proteins consisting of a foreign protein and an endogenous protein are of particular concern
493 because of the capacity of the foreign protein to elicit T cell help for generation of an antibody
494 response to the endogenous protein partner (Dalum, et al. 1997).

495
496 Chemical modifications of therapeutic protein products such as oxidation, deamidation,
497 aldehyde modification, and deimination may elicit immune responses by modification of
498 primary sequence, by causing aggregate formation, or by altering antigen processing and
499 presentation. Importantly, such changes may be well controlled during manufacture and
500 storage, but may occur in vivo in the context of the relatively high pH of the in vivo
501 environment or in inflammatory environments, and cause loss of activity as well as elicitation
502 of immune responses. Evaluation of therapeutic protein products in the context of the in vivo
503 environments to which they are targeted can reveal susceptibility to chemical degradation that
504 may contribute to loss of activity and increased immunogenicity (Demeule, Gurny, et al. 2006;
505 Makrygiannakis, et al. 2006; Huang, et al. 2005). Susceptibility to chemical modifications of
506 therapeutic protein products, and thus the possibility of loss of activity or induction of immune
507 responses in vivo, should prompt consideration of careful protein engineering.

508
509 *Recommendations*

510
511 Careful consideration should be given to the primary sequences chosen for development of
512 therapeutic proteins in general and especially of therapeutic protein counterparts of endogenous
513 proteins in view of potential polymorphisms in endogenous proteins across human populations.

514
515 For assessment of immune responses to fusion molecules, or to engineered versions of
516 therapeutic protein products, antibody assays should be developed that enable assessment of
517 responses to the intact protein product, as well as to each of the partner proteins separately or to
518 novel regions. Immune responses directed to the intact protein product, but not reactive with
519 either of the separate partner proteins, may be targeting novel epitopes in the fusion region.

520
521 Evaluation of therapeutic protein products in the in vivo milieu in which they function (e.g., in
522 inflammatory environments or at physiologic pH) may reveal susceptibilities to modifications
523 (e.g., aggregation and deamidation) that result in loss of efficacy or induction of immune
524 responses. Such information may facilitate product engineering to withstand undesirable
525 effects. Sponsors should consider this information in early product design and in development
526 of improved products.

527
528 3. *Quaternary Structure: Product Aggregates and Measurement of Aggregates*

529
530 Protein aggregates have been recognized as potent elicitors of immune responses to therapeutic
531 protein products for over a half-century (Gamble 1966). Mechanisms by which protein
532 aggregates facilitate immune responses include the following: extensive cross-linking of B cell
533 receptors, causing efficient B cell activation (Dintzis, et al. 1989; Bachmann, et al. 1993);
534 enhancing antigen uptake, processing, and presentation; and triggering immunostimulatory
535 danger signals (Seong and Matzinger 2004), thus recruiting the T cell help needed for

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

536 generation of high-affinity, isotype-switched IgG antibody, the antibody response most often
537 associated with neutralization of product efficacy (Bachmann and Zinkernagel 1997).

538
539 Protein aggregates are composed either of intact native protein or of degraded or denatured
540 protein which has lost epitopes of the normal protein. Antibodies generated by aggregates
541 containing native protein can bind to monomeric protein as well, with the potential to inhibit or
542 neutralize product activity. In contrast, antibodies to denatured/degraded protein bind uniquely
543 to the aggregated material, but not to native protein monomers, such as was the case with early
544 preparations of human intravenous immune globulin (IVIG) (Barandun, et al. 1962; Ellis and
545 Henney 1969). Such responses have been shown to cause anaphylaxis, but do not inhibit or
546 neutralize activity of the native protein.

547
548 Critical information is lacking regarding the types and quantities of aggregates needed to
549 generate immune responses for any given therapeutic protein product, although it is generally
550 recognized that higher-molecular-weight aggregates (i.e., >100 kD) and particles are more
551 potent in eliciting such responses than lower-molecular-weight aggregates (Bachmann, et al.
552 1993). The aggregates formed and the quantities that efficiently elicit immune responses also
553 may differ for different products and in different clinical scenarios. Furthermore, the use of any
554 single method for assessment of aggregates is not sufficient to provide a robust measure of
555 protein aggregation. For example, sole use of size exclusion chromatography may preclude
556 detection of higher-molecular-weight aggregates that fail to traverse the column prefilter, yet
557 may be the most crucial species in generating immune responses. Moreover, it has been
558 recognized that subvisible particulates in the size range of 0.1-10 microns have a strong
559 potential to be immunogenic, but are not precisely monitored by currently employed
560 technologies (Berkowitz 2006; Wyatt Technology n.d.; Gross and Zeppezauer 2010; Roda,
561 et al. 2009; Mahler and Jiskoot 2012). These very large aggregates may contain thousands to
562 millions of protein molecules and may be homogeneous or heterogeneous (e.g., protein
563 molecules adhered to glass or metal particles).

564
565 *Recommendations*

566
567 It is critical for manufacturers of therapeutic protein products to minimize protein aggregation
568 to the extent possible. This can be done by using an appropriate cell substrate, selecting
569 manufacturing conditions that minimize aggregate formation, employing a robust purification
570 scheme that eliminates aggregates, and choosing a formulation and container closure that
571 minimizes aggregation during storage. It is particularly important that product expiration
572 dating take into account any increase in protein aggregates associated with protein denaturation
573 or degradation during storage.

574
575 Methods that individually or in combination enhance detection of protein aggregates should be
576 employed to characterize these distinct species of aggregates in a product. One or more such
577 assays should be validated for use in routine lot release, and several of them should be
578 employed for comparability assessments. Methods include, but are not limited to the following:
579 size exclusion chromatography, analytical ultracentrifugation (Berkowitz 2006), light scattering
580 techniques (Wyatt Technology n.d.), Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy (Gross and
581 Zeppezauer 2010), and field flow fractionation (Roda, et al. 2009).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

582
583 Assessment should be made of the range and levels of subvisible particles (2-10 microns)
584 present in therapeutic protein products initially and over the course of the shelf life. Several
585 methods are qualified to evaluate the content of subvisible particulates in this size range
586 (Mahler and Jiskoot 2012). Sponsors should conduct a risk assessment of the impact of these
587 particles on the clinical performance of the therapeutic protein product and develop a mitigation
588 strategy based on that assessment, when appropriate.

589 590 4. *Glycosylation/Pegylation*

591
592 Glycosylation may strongly modulate immunogenicity of therapeutic protein products.
593 Although foreign glycoforms such as mammalian xenogeneic sugars (Chung, et al. 2008;
594 Ghaderi, et al. 2010), yeast mannans (Bretthauer and Castellino 1999), or plant sugars (Gomord
595 and Faye 2004) may trigger vigorous innate and acquired immune responses, glycosylation of
596 proteins with conserved mammalian sugars generally enhances product solubility and
597 diminishes product aggregation and immunogenicity. Glycosylation indirectly alters protein
598 immunogenicity by minimizing protein aggregation, as well as by shielding immunogenic
599 protein epitopes from the immune system (Wei, et al. 2003; Cole, et al. 2004). Pegylation of
600 therapeutic protein products has been found to diminish their immunogenicity via similar
601 mechanisms (Inada, et al. 1995; Harris, Martin, et al. 2001), although immune responses to the
602 polyethylene glycol (PEG) itself have been recognized and have caused loss of product efficacy
603 and adverse safety consequences (Lui, et al. 2011). Anti-PEG antibodies have also been found
604 to be cross-reactive between pegylated products.

605 606 *Recommendations*

607
608 For proteins that are normally glycosylated, use of a cell substrate production system that
609 glycosylates the protein in a nonimmunogenic manner and close to the normal human pattern is
610 recommended.

611
612 For pegylated therapeutic proteins, assays for antibodies to PEG itself should be developed and
613 implemented concomitantly with antibody assays to the therapeutic protein.

614 615 5. *Impurities with Adjuvant Activity*

616
617 Adjuvant activity can arise through multiple mechanisms, including the presence of microbial
618 impurities in therapeutic protein products. These innate immune response modulating
619 impurities (IIRMI)s, including lipopolysaccharide, β -glucan, and flagellin, exert immune
620 enhancing activity by binding to, and signaling through, Toll-like receptors or other pathogen
621 recognition receptors present on B cells, dendritic cells, and other antigen presenting cell
622 populations (Verthelyi and Wang 2010; Iwasaki and Medzhitov 2010). This signaling prompts
623 maturation of antigen presenting cells and/or serves to directly stimulate B cell antibody
624 production. It is very important to minimize the types and amounts of such microbial
625 impurities in therapeutic protein products.

626 627 *Recommendations*

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

628

629 Assays to evaluate the types of IIRMI present should be tailored to the relevant cell substrate.
630 Because even trace levels of IIRMI can modify the immunogenicity of a therapeutic protein
631 product, the assays used to detect them should have sensitivities that are clinically relevant.

632

633 Biomarkers used to detect and compare the presence of IIRMI should be tailored to the IIRMI
634 that could be present in the product.

635

636 *6. Immunomodulatory Properties of the Therapeutic Protein Product*

637

638 The immunologic activity of any given therapeutic protein product critically influences not only
639 the immune response directed to it, but also immune responses directed to other co-
640 administered therapeutic protein products, endogenous proteins, or even small drug molecules,
641 and may not be predictable. For example, interferon-alpha (Gogas, et al. 2006; Tovey and
642 Lallemand 2010), interleukin-2 (Franzke, et al. 1999), and GM-CSF (Hamilton 2008) are not
643 only relatively immunogenic of themselves, but also are known to upregulate immune
644 responses to endogenous proteins and to induce clinical autoimmunity. Immunosuppressive
645 therapeutic proteins may globally downregulate immune responses, raising the possibility of
646 serious infections. However, not all immunosuppressive therapeutic proteins suppress
647 responses to themselves. For example, integrin and TNF monoclonal antibodies tend to be
648 immunogenic. Thus, the immunogenicity of such protein therapeutics should be evaluated
649 empirically.

650

651 *Recommendations*

652

653 The immunomodulatory properties of therapeutic protein products, their effects on immune
654 responses to themselves, and their capacity to induce autoimmunity should be monitored from
655 the earliest stages of product development.

656

657 Vaccination using live attenuated organisms should be avoided when the therapeutic protein
658 product is immunosuppressive. Updated vaccination status, compliant with local healthcare
659 standards, is recommended for patients before administration of the therapeutic protein product.

660

661 *7. Formulation*

662

663 Formulation components are principally chosen for their ability to preserve the native
664 conformation of the protein in storage by preventing denaturation due to hydrophobic
665 interactions, as well as chemical degradation, including truncation, oxidation, and deamidation
666 (Cleland, Powell, et al. 1993; Shire, Shahrokh, et al. 2004; Wakankar and Borchardt 2006).
667 Large protein excipients in the formulation, such as human serum albumin (HSA), may affect
668 immunogenicity positively or negatively. Excipients such as HSA, although added for their
669 ability to inhibit hydrophobic interactions, may coaggregate with product or form protein
670 adducts under suboptimal storage conditions (Braun and Alsenz 1997). Polysorbate, a nonionic
671 detergent, is the most commonly used alternative to HSA because its association with proteins
672 minimizes hydrophobic interactions. The stability of both types of excipients (i.e., HSA and
673 polysorbate) should be kept in mind for formulation purposes because they too are subject to

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

674 modifications (e.g., oxidation), which may then pose a threat to the integrity of the therapeutic
675 protein product.

676
677 Formulation may also affect immunogenicity of the product by causing leaching of materials
678 with immune adjuvant activity from the container closure system. Organic compounds with
679 immunologic activity as well as metals have been eluted from container closure materials by
680 polysorbate-containing formulations leading to increased oxidation and aggregation.

681
682 *Recommendations*

683
684 Excipients should be evaluated for their potential to prevent denaturation and degradation of
685 therapeutic protein products during storage. Interactions between excipients and therapeutic
686 proteins should be carefully evaluated, especially in terms of co-aggregation or formation of
687 product-excipient adducts.

688
689 Excipient stability should be carefully considered when establishing product shelf life.
690 Thorough analyses of leachables and extractables should be performed to evaluate the capacity
691 of container closure materials to interact with and modify the therapeutic protein product. An
692 appropriate risk mitigation strategy should be developed, as appropriate, following such an
693 assessment.

694
695 *8. Container Closure Considerations*

696
697 Interactions between therapeutic protein products and the container closure may negatively
698 affect product quality and immunogenicity. These interactions are more likely with prefilled
699 syringes of therapeutic protein products. These syringes are composed of multiple surfaces and
700 materials that interact with product over a prolonged time period and thus have the potential to
701 alter product quality. Other container closure considerations that are pertinent to
702 immunogenicity include the following:

- 703
- 704 • Glass and air interfaces are hydrophobic surfaces that can denature proteins and cause
705 aggregation in glass syringes and vials.
 - 706
 - 707 • Glass vials have been known to delaminate at higher pH and with citrate formulations,
708 potentially creating protein-coated glass particles, which may enhance immunogenicity
709 of the therapeutic protein (Frandkin, Carpenter, et al. 2011).
 - 710
 - 711 • Silicone oil-coated syringe plungers provide a chemical and structural environment on
712 which proteins can denature and aggregate.
 - 713
 - 714 • Leached materials from the container closure system may be a source of materials that
715 enhance immunogenicity, either by chemically modifying the therapeutic protein
716 product, or by having direct immune adjuvant activity, including the following:
717
 - 718 ○ Organic compounds with immunomodulatory activity may be eluted from
719 container closure materials by polysorbate-containing formulations: a

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

720 leachable organic compound involved in vulcanization was found in a
721 polysorbate formulated product when the stopper surfaces were not teflon
722 coated (Boven, et al. 2005).

723

724 ○ Metals that oxidize and aggregate therapeutic protein products or activate
725 metalloproteinases have been found in various products contained in
726 prefilled syringes or in vials. For example, tungsten oxide that leached from
727 the syringe barrel was reported to cause protein aggregation (Bee, et al.
728 2009) and leached metals from vial stoppers caused increased proteolysis of
729 a therapeutic protein due to activation of a metalloprotease that co-purified
730 with the product.

731

732 *Recommendations*

733

734 Sponsors should obtain a detailed description of all raw materials used in manufacture of the
735 container closure systems for their products. Assays based on such techniques as reverse-phase
736 high-performance liquid chromatography should be developed and used to assess the presence
737 of leachables in therapeutic protein products.

738

739 Because the United States Pharmacopeia “elastomeric closures for injections” tests do not
740 adequately characterize the impact of leachables in storage containers on therapeutic protein
741 products under real-time storage conditions, leachables must be evaluated for each therapeutic
742 protein product in the context of its storage container under real-time storage conditions.

743

744 Testing for leachables should be performed on the product under stress conditions, as well as
745 under real-time storage conditions because in some cases, the amount of leachables increases
746 dramatically over time and at elevated temperatures. Product compatibility testing should be
747 performed to assess the effects of container closure system materials and all leachables on
748 product quality.

749

750 9. *Product Custody*

751

752 Products formulated in prefilled syringes should be tested for stability in protocols that include
753 appropriate in-use conditions (e.g., light and temperature) to identify conditions and practices
754 that cause product degradation.

755

756 Given that most therapeutic protein products denature and aggregate on exposure to heat and
757 light, or with mechanical agitation, to ensure product quality, patients should be educated
758 regarding product storage, handling, and administration.

759

760 A secure supply chain is critical. Cold chain security is of utmost importance in preserving
761 product quality. For example, the custody of epoetin- α by unauthorized vendors was associated
762 with high levels of aggregates and antibody-mediated pure red cell aplasia (Fotiou, et al. 2009).

763

764 *Recommendations*

765

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

766 Patient educational materials (e.g., FDA-approved patient labeling providing instructions for
767 use as required under 21 CFR 201.57 and 201.80) should explicitly identify appropriate storage
768 and handling conditions of the product. Appropriate patient instruction by caregivers is vital to
769 ensure product quality and help minimize adverse events. Cold chain security should be
770 ensured.

771

772

773 **VI. CONCLUSION**

774

775 Consequences of immune responses to therapeutic proteins can range from no apparent effect to
776 serious adverse events, including life-threatening complications, such as anaphylaxis,
777 neutralization of the effectiveness of life-saving or greatly needed therapies, or neutralization of
778 endogenous proteins with nonredundant functions. Although immunogenicity risk factors
779 pertaining to product quality attributes and patient/protocol factors are understood, immune
780 responses to therapeutic proteins cannot be predicted based solely on characterization of these
781 factors but should be evaluated in the clinic. A risk-based approach, as delineated in this
782 guidance, provides investigators with the tools to develop novel protein therapeutics, evaluate
783 the effect of manufacturing changes, and evaluate the potential need for tolerance-inducing
784 protocols when severe consequences result from immunogenicity.

785

786

787 **VII. REFERENCES**

788

789 Bachmann, MF and RM Zinkernagel, 1997, Neutralizing Antiviral B Cell Responses, *Annu*
790 *Rev Immunol*, 15:235-70.

791 Bachmann, MF, et al., 1993, The Influence of Antigen Organization on B Cell Responsiveness,
792 *Science*, 262(5138):1448-51.

793 Baert, F, et al., 2003, Influence of Immunogenicity on the Long-Term Efficacy of Infliximab in
794 Crohn's Disease, *N Engl J Med*, 348(7):601-8.

795 Barandun, S, et al., 1962, Intravenous Administration of Human Gamma-Globulin, *Vox Sang*,
796 7:157-74.

797 Barbosa, MD and E Celis, 2007, Immunogenicity of Protein Therapeutics and the Interplay
798 Between Tolerance and Antibody Responses, *Drug Discov Today*, 12(15-16):674-81.

799 Bee, JS, et al., 2009, Precipitation of a Monoclonal Antibody by Soluble Tungsten, *J Pharm Sci*,
800 98(9):3290-301.

801 Bellomi, F, et al., 2003, Fate of Neutralizing and Binding Antibodies to IFN Beta in MS
802 Patients Treated with IFN Beta for 6 Years, *J Neurol Sci*, 215(1-2):3-8.

803 Berkowitz, SA, 2006, Role of Analytical Ultracentrifugation in Assessing the Aggregation of
804 Protein Biopharmaceuticals, *AAPS J*, 8(3):E590-605.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 805 Boven, K, et al., 2005, The Increased Incidence of Pure Red Cell Aplasia with an Eprex
806 Formulation in Uncoated Rubber Stopper Syringes, *Kidney Int*, 67(6):2346-53.
- 807 Braun, A and J Alsenz, 1997, Development and Use of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays
808 (ELISA) for the Detection of Protein Aggregates in Interferon-Alpha (IFN-alpha) Formulations,
809 *Pharm Res*, 14(10):1394-400.
- 810 Bretthauer, RK and FJ Castellino, 1999, Glycosylation of Pichia Pastoris-Derived Proteins,
811 *Biotechnol Appl Biochem*, 30 (Pt 3):193-200.
- 812 Brockow, K and DD Metcalfe, 2010, Mastocytosis, in *Chem Immunol Allergy*, J. Ring, Editor,
813 Karger: Basel, 111-124.
- 814 Carpenter, JF, et al., 2009, Overlooking Subvisible Particles in Therapeutic Protein Products:
815 Gaps That May Compromise Product Quality, *J Pharm Sci*, 98(4):1201-5.
- 816 Carrasco, YR and FD Batista, 2007, B Cells Acquire Particulate Antigen in a Macrophage-Rich
817 Area at the Boundary Between the Follicle and the Subcapsular Sinus of the Lymph Node,
818 *Immunity*, 27(1):160-71.
- 819 Chung, CH, et al., 2008, Cetuximab-Induced Anaphylaxis and IgE Specific for Galactose-
820 Alpha-1, 3-Galactose, *N Engl J Med*, 358(11):1109-17.
- 821 Cleland, JL, MF Powell, and SJ Shire, 1993, The Development of Stable Protein Formulations:
822 A Close Look at Protein Aggregation, Deamidation, and Oxidation, *Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier*
823 *Syst*, 10(4):307-77.
- 824 Cole, KS, et al., 2004, Removal of N-Linked Glycosylation Sites in the V1 Region of Simian
825 Immunodeficiency Virus gp120 Results in Redirection of B-Cell Responses to V3, *J Virol*,
826 78(3):1525-39.
- 827 Dalum, I, et al., 1997, Induction of Cross Reactive Antibodies Against a Self Protein by
828 Immunization with a Modified Self Protein Containing a Foreign T Helper Epitope, *Mol*
829 *Immunol*, 34:1113-1120.
- 830 De Groot, AS, et al., 2008, Activation of Natural Regulatory T Cells by IgG Fc-Derived Peptide
831 Tregitopes, *Blood*, 112(8):3303-11.
- 832 Demeule, B, R Gurny, and T Arvinte, 2006, Where Disease Pathogenesis Meets Protein
833 Formulation: Renal Deposition of Immunoglobulin Aggregates, *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*,
834 62(2):121-30.
- 835 Dharnidharka, VR, et al., 1998, Membranous Glomerulonephritis and Nephrosis Post Factor IX
836 Infusions in Hemophilia B, *Pediatr Nephrol*, 12(8):654-7.
- 837 Dintzis, RZ, et al., 1989, The Immunogenicity of Soluble Haptenated Polymers is Determined
838 by Molecular Mass and Hapten Valence, *J Immunol*, 143(4):1239-44.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 839 Disis, M, et al., 2004, Humoral Epitope-Spreading Following Immunization with a HER-2/neu
840 Peptide Based Vaccine in Cancer Patients, *J Clin Immunol*, 24:571-578.
- 841 Donnelly, RP, H Dickensheets, and TR O'Brien, 2011, Interferon-Lambda and Therapy for
842 Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection, *Trends Immunol*, 32(9):443-50.
- 843 Duff, GW, 2006, Expert Group on Phase One Clinical Trials: Final Report, GW Duff, Editor
844 (accessible at
845 http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_063117).
846
- 847 Ellis, EF and CS Henney, 1969, Adverse Reactions Following Administration of Human
848 Gamma Globulin, *J Allergy*, 43(1):45-54.
- 849 Fotiou, F, et al., 2009, Impact of Illegal Trade on the Quality of Epoetin Alfa in Thailand, *Clin
850 Ther*, 31(2):336-46.
- 851 Fradkin, AH, JF Carpenter, and TW Randolph, 2011, Glass Particles as an Adjuvant: A Model
852 for Adverse Immunogenicity of Therapeutic Proteins, *J Pharm Sci*, 100(11):4953-64.
- 853 Franzke, A, et al., 1999, Autoimmunity Resulting from Cytokine Treatment Predicts Long-
854 Term Survival in Patients with Metastatic Renal Cell Cancer, *J Clin Oncol*, 17(2):529-33.
- 855 Gamarra, RM, et al., 2006, Serum Sickness-Like Reactions in Patients Receiving Intravenous
856 Infliximab, *J Emerg Med*, 30(1):41-4.
- 857 Gamble, CN, 1966, The Role of Soluble Aggregates in the Primary Immune Response of Mice
858 to Human Gamma Globulin, *Int Arch Allergy Appl Immunol*, 30(5):446-55.
- 859 Ghaderi, D, et al., 2010, Implications of the Presence of N-Glycolylneuraminic Acid in
860 Recombinant Therapeutic Glycoproteins, *Nat Biotechnol*, 28(8):863-7.
- 861 Gogas, H, et al., 2006, Prognostic Significance of Autoimmunity During Treatment of
862 Melanoma with Interferon, *N Engl J Med*, 354(7):709-18.
- 863 Gomord, V and L Faye, 2004, Posttranslational Modification of Therapeutic Proteins in Plants,
864 *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, 7(2):171-81.
- 865 Goodnow, CC, 1992, Transgenic Mice and Analysis of B-Cell Tolerance, *Annu Rev Immunol*,
866 10:489-518.
- 867 Goto, S, et al., 2009, Serum Sickness with an Elevated Level of Human Anti-Chimeric
868 Antibody Following Treatment with Rituximab in a Child with Chronic Immune
869 Thrombocytopenic Purpura, *Int J Hematol*, 89(3):305-9.
- 870 Gross, PC and M Zeppezauer, 2010, Infrared Spectroscopy for Biopharmaceutical Protein
871 Analysis, *J Pharm Biomed Anal*, 53(1):29-36.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 872 Hamilton, JA, 2008, Colony-Stimulating Factors in Inflammation and Autoimmunity, Nat Rev
873 Immunol, 8(7):533-44.
- 874 Haribhai, D, et al., 2003, A Threshold for Central T Cell Tolerance to an Inducible Serum
875 Protein, J Immunol, 170(6):3007-14.
- 876 Harris, JM, NE Martin, and M Modi, 2001, Pegylation: A Novel Process for Modifying
877 Pharmacokinetics, Clin Pharmacokinet, 40(7):539-51.
- 878 Hellwig, K, et al., 2008, Allergic and Nonallergic Delayed Infusion Reactions During
879 Natalizumab Therapy, Arch Neurol, 65(5):656-8.
- 880 Hermeling, S, et al., 2004, Structure-Immunogenicity Relationships of Therapeutic Proteins,
881 Pharm Res, 21(6):897-903.
- 882 Hoffmann, S, et al., 2008, HLA-DRB1*0401 and HLA-DRB1*0408 are Strongly Associated
883 with the Development of Antibodies Against Interferon-Beta Therapy in Multiple Sclerosis,
884 Am J Hum Genet, 83(2):219-27.
- 885 Hsu, DH, et al., 1999, A Humanized Anti-CD3 Antibody, HuM291, with Low Mitogenic
886 Activity, Mediates Complete and Reversible T-Cell Depletion in Chimpanzees,
887 Transplantation, 68(4):545-54.
- 888 Huang, L, et al., 2005, In Vivo Deamidation Characterization of Monoclonal Antibody by
889 LC/MS/MS, Anal Chem, 77(5):1432-9.
- 890 Hunley, TE, et al., 2004, Nephrotic Syndrome Complicating Alpha-Glucosidase Replacement
891 Therapy for Pompe Disease, Pediatrics, 114(4):e532-5.
- 892 Inada, Y, et al., 1995, Biomedical and Biotechnological Applications of PEG- and PM-
893 Modified Proteins, Trends Biotechnol, 13(3):86-91.
- 894 Iwasaki, A and R Medzhitov, 2010, Regulation of Adaptive Immunity by the Innate Immune
895 System, Science, 327(5963):291-5.
- 896 Kessler, CM and TL Ortel, 2009, Recent Developments in Topical Thrombins, Thromb
897 Haemost, 102(1):15-24.
- 898 Koren, E, 2002, From Characterization of Antibodies to Prediction of Immunogenicity, Dev
899 Biol (Basel), 109:87-95.
- 900 Koren, E, et al., 2008, Recommendations on Risk-Based Strategies for Detection and
901 Characterization of Antibodies Against Biotechnology Products, J Immunol Methods,
902 333(1-2):1-9.
- 903 Lacana, E, et al., 2012, The Role of Immune Tolerance Induction in Restoration of the Efficacy
904 of ERT in Pompe Disease, Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet, 160(1):30-9.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 905 Li, J, et al., 2001, Thrombocytopenia Caused by the Development of Antibodies to
906 Thrombopoietin, *Blood*, 98(12):3241-8.
- 907 Lieberman, P, et al., 2010, The Diagnosis and Management of Anaphylaxis Practice Parameter:
908 2010 Update, *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 126(3):477-80 e1-42.
- 909 Lin, RY, et al., 2000, Histamine and Tryptase Levels in Patients with Acute Allergic Reactions:
910 An Emergency Department-Based Study, *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 106(1 Pt 1):65-71.
- 911 Liu, Y, et al., 2011, A Double Antigen Bridging Immunogenicity ELISA for the Detection of
912 Antibodies to Polyethylene Glycol Polymers, *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods*, 64(3):238-45.
- 913 Ljung, RC, 1995, Gene Mutations and Inhibitor Formation in Patients with Hemophilia B, *Acta*
914 *Haematol*, 94 Suppl 1:49-52.
- 915 Mahler, HC and W Jiskoot, 2012, *Analysis of Aggregates and Particles in Protein*
916 *Pharmaceuticals*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, New Jersey.
- 917 Makrygiannakis, D, et al., 2006, Citrullination is an Inflammation-Dependent Process, *Ann*
918 *Rheum Dis*, 65(9):1219-22.
- 919 Mendelsohn, NJ, et al., 2009, Elimination of Antibodies to Recombinant Enzyme in Pompe's
920 Disease, *N Engl J Med*, 360(2):194-5.
- 921 Messinger, YH, et al., 2012, Successful Immune Tolerance Induction to Enzyme Replacement
922 Therapy in CRIM-Negative Infantile Pompe Disease, *Genet Med*, 14(1):135-42.
- 923 Miller, LL, et al., 1999, Abrogation of the Hematological and Biological Activities of the
924 Interleukin-3/Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor Fusion Protein PIXY321 by
925 Neutralizing Anti-PIXY321 Antibodies in Cancer Patients Receiving High-Dose Carboplatin,
926 *Blood*, 93(10):3250-8.
- 927 Morefield, GL, et al., 2005, Role of Aluminum-Containing Adjuvants in Antigen
928 Internalization by Dendritic Cells in Vitro, *Vaccine*, 23(13):1588-95.
- 929 Murphy, K, 2011, *The Humoral Immune Response*, in *Janeway's Immunobiology*, Garland
930 Science Publishing: New York, 367-408.
- 931 Norman, DJ, et al., 2000, Phase I Trial of HuM291, a Humanized Anti-CD3 Antibody, in
932 Patients Receiving Renal Allografts from Living Donors, *Transplantation*, 70(12):1707-12.
- 933 Oldenburg, J, et al., 2004, Environmental and Genetic Factors Influencing Inhibitor
934 Development, *Semin Hematol*, 41(1 Suppl 1):82-8.
- 935 Ragnhammar, P, et al., 1994, Induction of Anti-Recombinant Human Granulocyte-Macrophage
936 Colony-Stimulating Factor (Escherichia Coli-Derived) Antibodies and Clinical Effects in
937 Nonimmunocompromised Patients, *Blood*, 84(12):4078-87.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 938 Roda, B, et al., 2009, Field-Flow Fractionation in Bioanalysis: A Review of Recent Trends,
939 Anal Chim Acta, 635(2):132-43.
- 940 Romer, PS, et al., 2011, Preculture of PBMCs at High Cell Density Increases Sensitivity of T-
941 Cell Responses, Revealing Cytokine Release by CD28 Superagonist TGN1412, Blood,
942 118(26):6772-82.
- 943 Rosenberg, AS and A Worobec, 2004, A Risk-Based Approach to Immunogenicity Concerns of
944 Therapeutic Protein Products, Part 2: Considering Host-Specific and Product-Specific Factors
945 Impacting Immunogenicity, BioPharm International, 17(12):34-42.
- 946 Rosenberg, AS and A Worobec, 2005, A Risk-Based Approach to Immunogenicity Concerns of
947 Therapeutic Protein Products, Part 3: Effects of Manufacturing Changes in Immunogenicity and
948 the Utility of Animal Immunogenicity Studies, BioPharm International, 18(1):32-36.
- 949 Sampson, HA, et al., 2006, Second Symposium on the Definition and Management of
950 Anaphylaxis: Summary Report--Second National Institute of Allergy and Infectious
951 Disease/Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network symposium, J Allergy Clin Immunol,
952 117(2):391-7.
- 953 Sanz, ML, et al., 2010, In Vitro Diagnosis of Anaphylaxis, Chem Immunol Allergy, 95:125-40.
- 954 Seong, SY and P Matzinger, 2004, Hydrophobicity: An Ancient Damage-Associated Molecular
955 Pattern that Initiates Innate Immune Responses, Nat Rev Immunol, 4(6):469-78.
- 956 Shanmugam, G, LB Schwartz, and DA Khan, 2006, Prolonged Elevation of Serum Trypsin in
957 Idiopathic Anaphylaxis, J Allergy Clin Immunol, 117(4):950-1.
- 958 Sharp, FA, et al., 2009, Uptake of Particulate Vaccine Adjuvants by Dendritic Cells Activates
959 the NALP3 Inflammasome, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 106(3):870-5.
- 960 Shire, SJ, Z Shahrokh, and J Liu, 2004, Challenges in the Development of High Protein
961 Concentration Formulations, J Pharm Sci, 93(6):1390-402.
- 962 Simons, FE, 2008, 9. Anaphylaxis, J Allergy Clin Immunol, 121(2 Suppl):S402-7; quiz S420.
- 963 Simons, FE, 2009, Anaphylaxis: Recent Advances in Assessment and Treatment, J Allergy Clin
964 Immunol, 124(4):625-36; quiz 637-8.
- 965 Simons, FE, et al., 2007, Risk Assessment in Anaphylaxis: Current and Future Approaches, J
966 Allergy Clin Immunol, 120(1 Suppl):S2-24.
- 967 Stebbings, R, et al., 2007, Cytokine Storm in the Phase I Trial of Monoclonal Antibody
968 TGN1412: Better Understanding the Causes to Improve Preclinical Testing of
969 Immunotherapeutics, J Immunol, 179(5):3325-31.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 970 Tatarewicz, SM, et al., 2007, Development of a Maturing T-Cell-Mediated Immune Response
971 in Patients with Idiopathic Parkinson's Disease Receiving R-metHuGDNF via Continuous
972 Intraputaminial Infusion, *J Clin Immunol*, 27(6):620-7.
- 973 Tovey, MG and C Lallemand, 2010, Adjuvant Activity of Cytokines, in *Methods in Molecular*
974 *Biology*, G. Davies, Editor, Springer Science, 626:287-309.
- 975 Verthelyi, D and V Wang, 2010, Trace levels of Innate Immune Response Modulating
976 Impurities (IIRMI) Synergize to Break Tolerance to Therapeutic Proteins, *PLoS One*,
977 5(12):e15252.
- 978 Viel, KR, et al., 2009, Inhibitors of Factor VIII in Black Patients with Hemophilia, *N Engl J*
979 *Med*, 360(16):1618-27.
- 980 Wakankar, AA and RT Borchardt, 2006, Formulation Considerations for Proteins Susceptible
981 to Asparagine Deamidation and Aspartate Isomerization, *J Pharm Sci*, 95(11):2321-36.
- 982 Wang, J, et al., 2008, Neutralizing Antibodies to Therapeutic Enzymes: Considerations for
983 Testing, Prevention and Treatment, *Nat Biotechnol*, 26(8):901-8.
- 984 Warriar, I, et al., 1997, Factor IX Inhibitors and Anaphylaxis in Hemophilia B, *J Pediatr*
985 *Hematol Oncol*, 19(1):23-7.
- 986 Weber, CA, et al., 2009, T Cell Epitope: Friend or Foe? Immunogenicity of Biologics in
987 Context, *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*, 61(11):965-76.
- 988 Wei, X, et al., 2003, Antibody Neutralization and Escape by HIV-1, *Nature*, 422(6929):307-12.
- 989 Weigle, WO, 1980, Analysis of Autoimmunity Through Experimental Models of Thyroiditis
990 and Allergic Encephalomyelitis, *Adv Immunol*, 30:159-273.
- 991 White, PJ, et al., 2008, Generic Construction of Single Component Particles that Elicit
992 Humoural and Cellular Immune Responses without the Need for Adjuvants, *Vaccine*,
993 26(52):6824-31.
- 994 Worobec, A and AS Rosenberg, 2004, A Risk-Based Approach to Immunogenicity Concerns of
995 Therapeutic Protein Products, Part 1: Considering Consequences of the Immune Response to a
996 Protein, *BioPharm International*:17(11):22-26.
- 997 Wyatt Technology, n.d., Understanding Laser Light Scattering Theory (accessible at
998 <http://www.wyatt.com/theory/theory/understandinglaserlightscatteringtheory.html>).
- 999 Xiang, SD, et al., 2008, Promising Particle-Based Vaccines in Cancer Therapy, *Expert Rev*
1000 *Vaccines*, 7(7):1103-19.
1001
1002

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1003 **VIII. APPENDIX**

1004

1005

A. Diagnosis of Anaphylaxis

1006

1007

The diagnosis of anaphylaxis is based on the following three clinical criteria, with anaphylaxis considered as highly likely when one of these criteria is fulfilled: (Sampson, et al. 2006):

1008

1009

1010

1. Acute onset of an illness (minutes to several hours) with involvement of the skin, mucosal tissue, or both (e.g., generalized hives, pruritus, or flushing, swollen lips-tongue-uvula) and at least one of the following

1011

1012

1013

- Respiratory compromise (e.g., dyspnea, wheezing/bronchospasm, stridor, reduced peak expiratory flow on pulmonary function testing, hypoxemia)
- Reduced blood pressure or associated symptoms of end-organ dysfunction (e.g., hypotonia (collapse), syncope, incontinence)

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

2. Two or more of the following that occur rapidly after exposure to a likely allergen for that patient (minutes to several hours)

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

- Involvement of the skin-mucosal tissue (e.g., generalized hives, itching-flushing, swollen lips-tongue-uvula)
- Respiratory compromise (e.g., dyspnea, wheezing/bronchospasm, stridor, reduced peak expiratory flow, hypoxemia)
- Reduced blood pressure or associated symptoms (e.g., hypotonia (collapse), syncope, incontinence)
- Persistent gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., crampy abdominal pain, vomiting)

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

3. Reduced blood pressure after exposure to known allergen for that patient (minutes to several hours)

- Infants and children: low systolic blood pressure (age specific) or greater than 30% decrease in systolic blood pressure
- Adults: systolic blood pressure of less than 90 mm Hg or greater than 30% decrease from that person's baseline

1035

1036

1037

1038

Although none of the clinical criteria provide 100% sensitivity and specificity, it is believed that these criteria are likely to capture more than 95% of cases of anaphylaxis.

1039

Laboratory tests for evaluating anaphylaxis:

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

At present, there are no sensitive and specific laboratory tests to confirm the clinical diagnosis of anaphylaxis. Skin testing and in vitro diagnostic tests to determine the level of specific IgE antibodies directed against the therapeutic protein may be useful for determining whether anaphylaxis is IgE-mediated. However, the results of unvalidated tests should be interpreted with caution and the clinical relevance of positive results may be uncertain during product development. Skin test methods should include positive and negative controls and delineate criteria for positive vs. negative skin reactions. The input of resources to develop and validate a prick and/or intradermal skin test for a respective therapeutic protein product (i.e., the

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1049 demonstration of high sensitivity and specificity) should be balanced by the utility of these tests
1050 in the confirmation of the diagnosis of anaphylaxis.

1051
1052 In vitro diagnostic tests that may be employed to determine the level of specific IgE antibodies
1053 directed against the therapeutic protein are the solid-phase radioallergosorbent test (RAST) and
1054 enzymatic assays (Sampson, et al. 2006). As with skin testing, application of such assays for
1055 evaluation of small molecule drugs or peptide therapeutics may be limited due to insufficient
1056 information about relevant metabolites or haptened forms. RAST is of particular use in a
1057 number of situations: extensive skin disease, drug inhibition, and patient fear of skin testing.
1058 The presence of very high levels of nonspecific IgE can yield false positive results, whereas
1059 presence of IgG with the same specificity can yield false negative results via a ‘blocking
1060 antibody’ effect.

1061
1062 Plasma or urine histamine concentrations and total tryptase concentrations in serum or plasma
1063 may help support a clinical diagnosis of anaphylaxis and the pathophysiologic role of mast cell
1064 degranulation. However, these tests have intrinsic limitations (Simons 2009; Simons, et al.
1065 2007; Sanz, et al. 2010). Accurate measurement of these mediators warrants careful timing of
1066 sampling and proper storage of the serum. Appropriate and meaningful interpretation of these
1067 results depends on the clinical context.

1068
1069 In humans, plasma histamine levels are reported to peak 5 to 15 minutes after an IgE-mediated
1070 anaphylactic episode and to return to baseline by 30 to 60 minutes. However, the accuracy of
1071 plasma histamine levels is limited by the requirement for immediate processing to prevent
1072 spontaneous basophil histamine release and the resulting artifactually elevated histamine levels
1073 that occur in unseparated blood. Urinary histamine and its metabolites are elevated for a longer
1074 period following an anaphylactic episode and, therefore, measurements of these levels may
1075 prove useful (Simons, et al. 2007; Lieberman, et al. 2010).

1076
1077 Similarly, tryptase levels may support the role of mast cell degranulation in an anaphylactic
1078 reaction. The majority of constitutively secreted tryptase is β -pro tryptase, an immature β
1079 tryptase, with α -tryptase contributing only a small amount. The marked increase in total
1080 tryptase observed during anaphylaxis is due to the rise in the mature β tryptase on degranulation
1081 (Lieberman, et al. 2010). Currently available tryptase assays detect both α - and β -tryptase, with
1082 a normal level below 11 ng/mL. During anaphylaxis, serum levels of β -tryptase have been
1083 reported to peak 30 to 60 minutes after the onset of symptoms and then decline, with a half-life
1084 of approximately 2 hours. The sensitivity and specificity of the assay may be enhanced if a 2-
1085 fold or greater increase in total tryptase over baseline levels is observed during the acute event.
1086 Baseline serum tryptase levels may be obtained either before the anaphylaxis event in question
1087 or 24 or more hours after resolution of clinical signs and symptoms (Shanmugam, et al. 2006).
1088 It should be noted that although an elevated total tryptase level supports the diagnosis of
1089 anaphylaxis, failure to document an elevation in total tryptase does not exclude the diagnosis
1090 even if the blood sample has been obtained within a few hours of the onset of symptoms
1091 (Simons, et al. 2007). Moreover, tryptase levels are elevated in patients with systemic
1092 mastocytosis. Therefore, mastocytosis should be excluded in the context of elevated tryptase
1093 levels during anaphylaxis (Brockow and Metcalfe 2010). Lack of correlation between

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1094 histamine and tryptase levels in anaphylaxis has been reported, with some patients exhibiting
1095 elevations of only one of these mediators (Sampson, et al. 2006).

1096
1097 Although only 42% of patients given the clinical diagnosis of anaphylaxis were found to have
1098 increased plasma histamine levels, and only 21% had increased plasma tryptase levels (Lin,
1099 et al. 2000), elevated mast cell mediators in the clinical setting of an anaphylactic episode
1100 strongly support the clinical diagnosis, especially if serial sampling demonstrates a significant
1101 change at the time of the inciting event when compared to baseline or post-recovery serum
1102 (Simons 2008). Other tests of immune responsiveness, such as T cell proliferation assays, are
1103 insufficiently specific to serve as indicators or predictors of anaphylaxis.

1104

1105

B. Cytokine Release Syndrome

1106

1107
1108 Antibodies to therapeutic protein products have the potential to cross-link membrane-bound
1109 therapeutic proteins such as monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), possibly resulting in augmentation
1110 of a product's intrinsic agonist activity and exacerbation of infusion-related toxicities. In vitro
1111 assessments of cellular activation, including proliferation and cytokine release in human whole
1112 blood or peripheral blood mononuclear cells are recommended. For products with the potential
1113 to incur a cytokine release syndrome (e.g., receptors and products that either stimulate or
1114 demonstrate the ability to induce in vitro or in vivo cytokine release), an initial starting dose
1115 below that obtained by traditional calculations and slower infusion rates, where applicable, may
1116 also be recommended (Duff 2006). Pre- and post-administration levels of C-reactive protein
1117 and cytokines, such as TNF- α , IL-2, IL-6, IL-10 and IFN- γ , may serve as markers of a
1118 proinflammatory response.

1119

1120 Data from animal studies may provide information to guide development of therapeutic protein
1121 products with the potential to induce cytokine release. Although the traditional animal models
1122 used for toxicology testing (i.e., rat, mouse, dog, and cynomolgus monkey) rarely demonstrate
1123 overt toxicities related to lymphocyte activation and cytokine release, specific markers related
1124 to T cell activation and cytokine release can be measured in routine toxicology studies,
1125 provided that the drug is pharmacologically active in the test species. These data may then be
1126 useful for predicting the potential for these agents to induce a cytokine release syndrome in the
1127 clinic, or for evaluating the activity of second-generation agents that have been modified to
1128 reduce their level of T cell activation. For example, cytokine production can be measured in
1129 blood samples obtained from treated animals during pharmacokinetic or general toxicology
1130 studies, provided that the amount of samples obtained does not compromise the health of the
1131 animals or the ability to evaluate the toxicology endpoints at study termination. When
1132 evaluation of cytokine release is included in animal testing, measurement of a cytokine panel
1133 that is as broad as possible and includes IL-6, IFN- γ and TNF- α , as well as other relevant
1134 cytokines indicative of cytokine release syndrome is recommended. Such proposed animal
1135 studies should be discussed with FDA prior to initiation (Hsu, et al. 1999; Norman, et al. 2000).
1136 Data from animal studies should be supplemented by in vitro assessments of cellular activation,
1137 including proliferation and cytokine release in human whole blood or peripheral blood
1138 mononuclear cells (Stebbins, et al. 2007; Hellwig, et al. 2008; Romer, et al. 2011). The
1139 impact of product cross-linking should be considered in such studies. Signs of cellular

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1140 activation in vitro should also be taken as an indication that the product has the potential to
1141 induce toxicities in the clinic, regardless of negative findings from preclinical animal studies.

1142

1143

1144 **C. Non-Acute Immune Responses**

1145

1146 Type III hypersensitivity responses, including those mediated by immune complexes and T
1147 cells (delayed hypersensitivity responses in the older literature), are relatively rare with respect
1148 to therapeutic protein products and a high degree of clinical suspicion is necessary for the
1149 diagnosis (Hunley, et al. 2004; Dharnidharka, et al. 1998; Goto, et al. 2009; Gamarra, et al.
1150 2006). Signs and symptoms of immune complex deposition may include fever, rash, arthralgia,
1151 myalgia, hematuria, proteinuria, serositis, central nervous system complications, and hemolytic
1152 anemia. Immune complexes, composed of antibody and a therapeutic protein product have
1153 been responsible for development of glomerulonephritis and nephrotic syndrome in patients
1154 undergoing tolerance induction treatment (with factor IX and α -glucosidase) in the face of a
1155 high titer and sustained antibody response (Hunley, et al. 2004; Dharnidharka, et al. 1998).
1156 There have been case reports of immune complex disease with immune responses to
1157 monoclonal antibodies (Goto, et al. 2009; Gamarra, et al. 2006) and situations in which large
1158 doses of a monoclonal antibody targeting high levels of a circulating multivalent antigen may
1159 increase the likelihood of immune complex deposition.

1160

1161 If patients develop signs or symptoms suggestive of immune complex disease, appropriate
1162 laboratory assessments for circulating immune complexes should be undertaken and the
1163 administration of the therapeutic protein product suspended. In certain situations, development
1164 of tolerance inducing therapies that eliminate the antibody response may be appropriate prior to
1165 further attempts at treatment.

1166

1167

1168 **D. Antibody Responses to Therapeutic Proteins**

1169

1170 Antibodies to therapeutic proteins are classified as either neutralizing or binding (non-
1171 neutralizing). Neutralizing antibodies bind to distinct functional domains of the therapeutic
1172 protein and preclude their activity. For example, antibodies to therapeutic enzymes may bind to
1173 either the catalytic site, blocking catalysis of substrate, or to the uptake domain, preventing
1174 uptake of the enzyme into the cell. In rare circumstances, neutralizing antibody may act as a
1175 “carrier” and enhance the half-life of the product and prolong its therapeutic effect. As
1176 discussed in section III of this guidance, non-neutralizing antibodies bind to areas of the
1177 therapeutic protein other than specific functional domains and may exhibit a range of effects on
1178 safety and efficacy: enhanced or delayed clearance of the therapeutic protein, which may
1179 necessitate dosing changes; induction of anaphylaxis; diminished efficacy of the product by
1180 causing uptake of the therapeutic protein into FcR-expressing cells rather than the target cells;
1181 and facilitation of epitope spreading, allowing the emergence of neutralizing antibodies.
1182 However, they may have no apparent effect on either safety or efficacy.

1183

1184 The development of neutralizing antibody is expected with administration of nonhuman
1185 proteins and in patients receiving factor/enzyme replacement therapies to whom such

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1186 therapeutic proteins appear as foreign. However, neutralizing antibody to an endogenous
1187 protein does not always arise in situations in which the endogenous factor is defective or absent
1188 by genetic mutation, as in the case of hemophilia A or lysosomal storage diseases. Neutralizing
1189 antibodies can develop in healthy individuals to some normal endogenous proteins because
1190 immune tolerance to some endogenous proteins is not robust and can be broken with sufficient
1191 provocation. For example, healthy volunteers treated with a thrombopoietin (TPO)-type protein
1192 mounted a neutralizing antibody response to the therapeutic, which cross-reactively neutralized
1193 endogenous TPO, inducing a prolonged state of thrombocytopenia in those formerly healthy
1194 individuals (Li, et al. 2001). Thus, treatment with therapeutic counterparts of endogenous
1195 proteins serving a unique function, or endogenous proteins present at low abundance, must be
1196 undertaken very cautiously. Neutralizing antibody to a therapeutic protein can also be
1197 catastrophic when it neutralizes the efficacy of a life-saving therapeutic such as therapeutic
1198 enzymes for lysosomal storage disorders and immune tolerance induction should be considered
1199 in such circumstances (Wang, et al. 2008).

1200

1201 Loss of efficacy of mAbs in patients due to immune responses to the mAb can be highly
1202 problematic and the clinical consequences should not be minimized. Sponsors may consider
1203 development of immune tolerance induction regimens in such patients.

1204

1205 As discussed in section III.B.5 of the guidance, if the endogenous protein is redundant in
1206 biological function (e.g., Type I interferons), neutralization of the therapeutic and endogenous
1207 protein may not appear to produce an obvious clinical syndrome. However, the more subtle
1208 effects of blocking endogenous factors, even though redundant in some functions, may not be
1209 apparent until the system is stressed, as not all biological functions of a factor may be known or
1210 fully characterized. Moreover, the effects of long-term persistence of neutralizing antibody, as
1211 have been observed, for example, in a small percentage of patients with antibodies to IFN- β
1212 (Bellomi, et al. 2003), would not be known from short-term follow-up and should be studied
1213 longer term. Generally, for products given chronically, one year or more of immunogenicity
1214 data should be evaluated. However, longer-term evaluation may be warranted depending on the
1215 frequency and severity of the consequences. In some cases, these studies may be done in the
1216 postmarket setting. Agreement with the Agency should be sought regarding the extent of data
1217 required before and after marketing.

1218

1219 In some circumstances, antibody responses, regardless of apparent clinical effect, should be
1220 serially followed until the levels return to baseline or an alternative approach is discussed with
1221 the Agency. Moreover, for patients in whom a therapeutic protein appears to lose efficacy, it is
1222 important that an assessment be undertaken to determine whether the loss of efficacy is
1223 antibody mediated.

1224

1225 For patients who develop neutralizing antibodies or are considered at very high risk of
1226 developing neutralizing antibodies to a life saving therapeutic protein (e.g., CRIM negative
1227 patients with a deletion mutation for a critical enzyme who are given enzyme replacement
1228 therapy), consideration should be given to tolerance induction regimens in a prophylactic
1229 setting, before or concomitant with the onset of treatment (Messinger, et al. 2012; Wang, et al.
1230 2008; Mendelsohn, et al. 2009). Given the degree of immune suppression of such regimens,

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1231 although far less than that of a therapeutic regimen to reverse an ongoing response, careful
1232 safety monitoring should be undertaken throughout the duration of the protocol.

1233

1234

E. Utility Of Animal Studies

1235

1236

1237 Immunogenicity assessments in animals are conducted to assist in the interpretation of animal
1238 study results and in the design of subsequent clinical and non-clinical studies (for additional
1239 information, see the Guidance to Industry ICH S6(R1): *Preclinical Safety Evaluation of*
1240 *Biotechnology-Derived Pharmaceuticals*,
1241 [http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Safety/S6_R1/Step4/
1242 S6_R1_Guideline.pdf](http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Safety/S6_R1/Step4/S6_R1_Guideline.pdf)). They are generally limited in their ability to predict the *incidence* of
1243 human immune responses to a therapeutic protein, but they may be useful in describing the
1244 *consequences* of antibody responses, particularly when an evolutionarily conserved,
1245 nonredundant endogenous protein is inhibited by cross-reactive antibodies generated to its
1246 therapeutic protein product counterpart. When available, animal models, including
1247 hyperimmunized mice or gene knock out (KO) mice, can be used to address potential
1248 consequences of inhibition of endogenous proteins. A special case is that of endogenous
1249 proteins that are vital to embryonic or fetal development whose elimination is embryonically
1250 lethal. In such situations, the use of conditional knock out mice may be useful for assessing
1251 potential consequences of neutralizing antibodies. As in human studies, consideration should be
1252 given to the potential transmission of antibodies to developing neonates by breast milk,

1253

1254 In contrast to proteins that mediate biologically unique functions, animal models are generally
1255 not useful for predicting consequences of immune responses to redundant therapeutic protein
1256 products. Mice that are transgenic for genes encoding human proteins, humanized mice (i.e.,
1257 immune-deficient mice with human immune systems), and mouse models of human diseases
1258 are increasingly being developed and may be considered for use to address multiple clinical
1259 issues, including immunogenicity.

1260

1261

F. Comparative Immunogenicity Studies

1262

1263

1264 The need for, extent, and timing of clinical immunogenicity studies in the context of
1265 evaluating the effects of a manufacturing change will depend on such factors as the extent of
1266 analytical comparability between the product before and after the manufacturing change,
1267 findings from informative comparative animal studies, and the incidence and clinical
1268 consequences of immune responses to the product prior to the manufacturing change. For
1269 example, if the clinical consequence of an immune response is severe (e.g., when the product
1270 is a therapeutic counterpart of an endogenous protein with a critical, nonredundant biological
1271 function or is known to provoke anaphylaxis), more extensive immunogenicity assessments
1272 will likely be needed.

1273

1274 Guidance on development programs for biosimilar products is available in a separate draft
1275 guidance (*Guidance for Industry on Scientific Considerations in Demonstrating Biosimilarity*
1276 *to a Reference Product*, February 2012).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1277

1278 Guidance on appropriate assay development for immunogenicity testing is available in a
1279 separate draft guidance (*Assay Development for Immunogenicity Testing of Therapeutic*
1280 *Proteins*), in ICH guidance (ICH Q2A,B), and in recent publications (Koren, et al. 2008).

1281